

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/537,108	06/01/2005	Herbert Lifka	NL02 1183 US	1251
24738 7	7590 11/07/2006 ELECTRONICS NORTH AMERICA CORPORATION TUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS		EXAMINER	
			AHMED, SHAMIM	
	DRIVE, M/S-41SJ	DARDS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE, CA 95131			1765	_
			DATE MAILED: 11/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/537,108	LIFKA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Shamim Ahmed	1765			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 Ju 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4)  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13,17 and 18 is/are 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-8 and 14-16 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subjected to by the Examine 10)  The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 June 2005 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11  The oath or declaration is objected to by	e withdrawn from consideration.  r election requirement.  er.  ) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/1/05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/537,108 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-16, drawn to process, classified in class 216, subclass 24.
  - II. Claim 17, drawn to a product, classified in class 385, subclass 129.
  - III. Claim 18, drawn to a process, classified in class 216, subclass 2.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process can be used to make another and materially different product such as MEMS structure including actuator other than the display device.
- 3. Inventions I and III are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed processes have materially different modes of operation and function such as one claiming a process of making display device and other claiming a

Art Unit: 1765

non-display device. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. If the applicant elects Group I, the process claims, then a species election is also required. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:
- A. Temperature-resistant layer comprises Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> (claim 8).
- B. Temperature-resistant layer comprises stacks of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and SiO<sub>2</sub> (claim 9)'
- C. Temperature-resistant layer comprises SiON (claim 10);
- D. temperature-resistant layer comprises Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub> and SiON (claim 11):
- E. Temperature-resistant layer comprises stacks of SiO<sub>2</sub> and SiON (claim 12);
- F. Temperature-resistant layer comprises stacks of Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub> and SiON (claim 13).

The species are independent or distinct because each has distinct arrangement of different materials.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 6. During a telephone conversation with Kevin Simons on 11/1/06 a provisional election was made **without** traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-16 in which, the species A (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>, claim 8) is elected. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 9-13,17 and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/537,108 Page 5

Art Unit: 1765

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 9. Claims 1-8,14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 10. Regarding claim 1, line 6, the phrase "characterized in" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).
- 11. Regarding claim 1, line 9, the phrase "processing a display" renders the claim(s) indefinite because it is unclear what is meant by processing a display?

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

12. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 13. Claims 1-6,14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kawama et al (5,665,607).

Kawama et al disclose a process of making solar cell including the steps of depositing a removable substrate (2) of silicon oxide on a substrate (1) of etch and temperature resistant layer (col.10, lines 40-52);

Application/Control Number: 10/537,108 Page 6

Art Unit: 1765

Kawama et al teach a silicon-comprising layer (3) of polycrystalline silicon film attached to the removable layer (col.13, lines 43-65).

Kawama et al also teach removing the removable layer by etching with an etchant of hydrofluoric acid (HF) solution, wherein the etching is performed by leading the etchant solution through plurality of holes (8) on the substrate (col.14, lines 29-46).

Kawama et al disclose forming display components (6,7) on at least part of temperature resistant layer in order to complete the solar cell (col.16, lines 59-62).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 14. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 15. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 16. Claims 7-8 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawama et al (5,665,607).

Kawama et al discusses above in the paragraph 13 but explicitly teach the etch and temperature resistant layer comprises silicon nitride (Si<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>).

Kawama et al teach in another embodiment that a stack of silicon oxide, silicon nitride is formed on a polycrystalline substrate during the manufacturing of a solar cell (col.10, lines 47-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to use silicon nitride as the etch resistant layer as recognized by the skilled artisian as taught by Kawama et al.

As to claim 7, it would have been obvious to have a broadly claimed limitation of hight profile of the substate that can be passed on to the display device.

As to 16, it would have been obvious to use the hydrofluoric acid (HF) solution as mixture solution as claimed because of the buffer action and which recognized in the etching art.

#### Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chaudhuri (4,451,969) discloses conventional process of making solar cell.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA November 4, 2006